As of October 18, 2010

Count	CDR Variable Name	Essential Climate Variable		Algorithm Name	Collateral Products	Responsible Team Member	Source Data Sensors	Future Source Data Sensor	Spacecraft	Channels	Spatial Resolution		Temporal Resolution			Product Units	Projection	Output Format	Metadata Standard	Other Characteristics	Key publication reference	Existing User Groups	Expected User Groups	Outcome	Impact	Community Workshop Status
sequential i.d. number to count products, 1,2,3. -- Please list only one variable per row of the spreadsheet.	e.g. Level 1B radiance, albedo, cloud top height, SST, etc...	For Geophysical Variables (only, i.e., not for Level 1b). Please use the drop down menus in cells below to enter the ECV, you may also click on the above link and use pg 6 in the *Guideline for the Generation of Satellite-based Datasets and Products meeting GCOS Requirements* .pdf document as a reference.		Please include a name that may be recognizable in the Climate community, e.g. ISCCP, GPCP, GRHSST, PATMOS-x, etc...	List all in one cell. Collateral Products are those which are not proposed as CDRs and are not yet considered to be climate quality, but which are routinely generated as secondary/intermediate outputs from the CDR algorithm. NOAA's CDR Program does not ensure or test the availability or reliability of Collateral Products. Users can contact the code developers for further information.	Please identify which member of your team is primarily responsible for development of this particular product.	List the space sensors which provided the raw data from which your product(s) were generated.	If **you** plan to provide CDR continuity from existing sensors to future sensors (e.g., from JPSS or other missions), please identify the mission and sensors to be used. NOTE: if you did not propose to address future sensors or data sets, please state "N/A"	Please list all spacecraft from which source data were used (e.g., NOAA-8, EOS Terra, SeaWIFS, GOES-14). Please follow the order used in the list of source data sensors.	Please identify all channels used for each type of source data sensor.	Please use a new row for each unique resolution (spatial or temporal) -- Please include the units of the resolution (e.g., mbars, km, degrees).	Please use a new row for each unique resolution (spatial or temporal) - please include the units of the resolution (e.g., mbars, km, degrees)	As applicable, e.g., • early morning • mid-morning • afternoon	Start of Record: Month/Year	End of Record: Month/Year please say "present" if it is ongoing. note any gaps if they exist (e.g., Feb. 2003)	e.g. Reflectance (unitless), degrees Kelvin, Radiance W/m^2/sr, etc...	If gridded, what is your projection?	e.g. NetCDF4, Binary, HDF4, HDF5 etc...	is your Metadata compliant with any standards or conventions? e.g., Climate Forecast (CF) Convention, FGDC Standards, ISO 19115-2, etc. If not adhering to a standard, please state "research"	e.g., Clear Sky only, latitudinal or longitudinal range, over oceans only, over land only, etc...	Please provide a full bibliographic reference for 1 or 2 (only) key publicly-available publications that describe your data set or process, if available.	Please state any existing users (either general communities, e.g., energy, health, climate modelling, or specific group (e.g., GFDL, GMAO, FAQ, CDC...)). This will help us justify future funding.	List the user groups (not already listed previously) that would likely be interested in the CDR. Who/what is NOAA serving by investing in your work?	Results that stem from use of the outputs. Unlike output measures, outcomes refer to an event or condition that is external to the program and is of direct importance to the intended beneficiaries (e.g., scientists, agency managers, policy makers, other stakeholders). Examples of outcome metrics are the number of alternative refrigerants introduced to society to reduce the loss of stratospheric ozone and scientific outputs integrated into a new understanding of the causes of the Antarctic ozone hole.	The effect that an outcome has on something else. Impact metrics are outcomes that focus on long-term societal, economic, or environmental consequences. Examples of impact metrics include the recovery of stratospheric ozone resulting from implementation of the Montreal Protocol and related policies and the increase in public understanding of the causes and consequences of ozone loss.	Please state whether you have conducted your community workshop (y/n). If so, please provide date/location and URL if web page exists. If not yet held, please state your plans. **BACKGROUND:** Per the 2009 Announcement of Opportunity, "...the Project expects each Product Development Team to conduct an early community workshop (year 1 of funding) in which it will explain the theoretical basis of its algorithm and its proposed CDR development approach. The Team is expected to consider all suggestions and requests for action."
		Domain	Variable								Horizontal	Vertical	Orbits	Start Date	End Date											
1	Total Column Ozone	Atmospheric	Ozone	TOZ	Effective cloud reflectivity, Aerosol Index	Lawrence Flynn	SBUV(/2) (TOMS, OMI, GOME-2)	OMPS-NM	NOAA-9, -11, -14, -16, -17, -18, -19, NIMBUS-7 (EP, EOS-Aura, MetOP-A)	306 nm, 313 nm, 318 nm, 331 nm 340 nm (no 306 nm, 340 nm -> 360 nm)	200X200 KM^2 (50X50 KM^2, 12X24 KM^2, 40X80 KM^2)	N/A	Daytime of POES orbits	11/1978 (1970 for Nimbus-4 BUV)	present	Ozone, Dobson Units (milli-atm-cm)	1 deg X 1 deg Lat/Lon when gridded	Fortran Binary	Research	Sunlit Earth	P.K. Bhartia, et al., A Quarter Century of Ozone Observations by SBUV and TOMS, Ozone, Proc. Quad. Ozone Symp., 2004, Ed. C. Zerefos. Miller, A. J., et al. (2002), A cohesive total ozone data set from the SBUV(/2) satellite system, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D23), 4701, doi:10.1029/2001JD000853.	WMO (Scientific Assessments of Ozone Depletion), NASA, NOAA NCEP, Climate Modeling, GMAO		Increased awareness of UV exposure, replacement chamical for CFCs, Science of ozone layer destruction	Recovery of stratospheric ozone resulting from implementation of Montreal Protocol	Review at NASA GSFC Ozone MEASURES Program Meeting (6/23/2010); Review at OSOAT in Silver Spring (2/17/2010)
2	Ozone Vertical Profile	Atmospheric	Ozone	SBUV(/2) Profile Ozone	Mg II Index, SO2 Index	Lawrence Flynn	SBUV(/2) (OMI, GOME-2)	OMPS-NM and OMPS-NP	NOAA-9, -11, -14, -16, -17, -18, -19, NIMBUS-7 (EOS-Aura, MetOP-A)	252 nm, 274 nm, 283 nm, 288 nm, 292 nm, 298 nm, 302 nm, 306 nm, 313 nm, 318 nm, 331 nm, 340 nm, 380 nm	200X200 KM^2 (24X24 KM^2, 40X80 KM^2)	3 KM Reporting, 7-20 KM resolution	Daytime of POES orbits	11/1978 (1970 for Nimbus-4 BUV)	present (one month gaps ...)	Ozone, Dobson Units (milli-atm-cm)		Fortran Binary	Research	Sunlit Earth, 80S-80N	Flynn, L.E., et al. (2009) Measurements and products from the Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet (SBUV/2) and Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Instruments. International J. of Remote Sensing, 30 (15). Kondragunta S., et al., Analysis and Validation of Version 8 SBUV/2 Total and Profile Ozone Data, Proc. Quad. Ozone Symp., 2004, Ed. C. Zerefos.			Increased awareness of UV exposure, replacement chamical for CFCs, Science of ozone layer destruction	Recovery of stratospheric ozone resulting from implementation of Montreal Protocol	Review at NASA GSFC Ozone MEASURES Program Meeting (6/23/2010); Review at OSOAT in Silver Spring (2/17/2010)
3	Limb Ozone Profile	Atmospheric	Ozone	Limb Ozone	Stratospheric Aerosol Profile (Perhaps the limb ozone profile should be here as well as it has not yet attained CDR status.)	Lawrence Flynn (Didier Rault of NASA LaRC is leading the EDR algorithm development with NPP funding.)	(SAGE III, OSIRIS, SCIAMACHY)	OMPS-LP, OMPS-NM, OMPS-NP	NPP, JPSS-2	290-1000 nm	200 KM	1 KM Reporting, 3 KM resolution	Daytime of Polar	2011		Ozone, Dobson Units (milli-atm-cm), ozone ppmv		HDF-5 and NetCDF-4	Research	Sunlit Earth			WMO (Scientific Assessments of Ozone Depletion), NASA, NOAA NCEP, Climate Modeling, GMAO		Recovery of stratospheric ozone resulting from implementation of Montreal Protocol	